RIGINAL DICT. 61-184

From: Scott Garfinkle To: Mike Powell

1/24/03 1 26PM Date:

Subject: Wireless number portability


Please implement the wireless number portability as currently planned! Lagree 100% with Senator Schurmer that the current situation locks me and the people I know into one plan because of the considerable difficulty notifying people of changed numbers. On the other hand, if I were to make a jump from landline to a solely wireless number, this would be a one-time thing and I would expect only that my former wired carrier would put on a forwarding message, exactly as is now done if I move and cannot keep my current number. This new objection by the wireless operators is clearly a smokescreen meant to delay and bfuscate

yours truly, Scott Garfinkle 13408 Bolivia Drive Austin, TX 78729

۲

FEB 2 8 2003

Fig. (), we have $S_{2} = - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{$





FEB 2 8 2005

CHIGINAL EX MARK

EX PART BY 1

From: Missy McVittie
To: Mike Powell
Date: 1/24/03 5:57PM

Subject: Cellular Number Portability - YES!

Mr. Wheeler's comments - "Number portability is as unnecessary in the competitive wireless market as socks on a fish, but the FCC has made the situation even worse,", president of the Cellular Telecommunications and Internet Association. are completely false.

Is Mr. Wheeler not considering all of the business owners that would like to change to a different carrier for economic reasons but don't simply because if they did they would run the risk of loosing customers because they wouldn't have the new number? This doesn't include the cost that business owner would also incur to reprint all of their business cards/invoices/etc....

How about the people that were lucky enough to get a mobile number that matches the last four numbers of their land line -which they get to keep if they move? If they also want *to* switch, then they loose that 'connection'.

In this day and age where people are almost exclusively reached via their mobile phones, the number dialed to reach that person can become as "personal" as their choice in hair color and/or car.

To say that the general public's desire to maintain their 'own' number has less to do with socks for fish than telling the fish that before he can go swim in this cleaner and better pond he has to completely change his scales because corporate America says so.

Missy McVittie Sacramento, CA

RECEIVED

FEB 2 8 2003



ORIGINAL 01-184

From: John Mitchell

To: Mike Powell

Date: 1/24/036:44PM

Subject: Wireless number portability

Mr. Powell, I am resending you this email as it has been more than two months without a response from you.

Mr. Powell,

Please take note of my total disagreement with the FCC decision to extend the Wireless LNP deadline another year

This decision hurts consumers who have been waiting to leave there current provider who has given them years of poor network and customer service performance. The only reason the I keep my current provider is for the phone number.

Please explain why this decision was made, and how it protects the consumer?

Regretfully

John Mitchell

CC: Kathleen Abernathy. Michael Copps. KM KJMWEB

RECEIVER

FEB 2 8 2003

ORIGINAL

01-184

From:

Gary Stradling

To:

Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy. Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date:

1/26/039:23AM

Subject:

Phone number portability

Commissioners-

As a consumer of telecommunication products, the question of number Dortability of cell-Dhone numbers and land-line numbers and interchangeability between the two is a no brainer. Portability and interchangeability is a must!

When my friends, family, and business associates change their numbers, it is a problem for everyone, with a lot of time wasted and frustration on the part of all. A customer can only make active contacts aware of a number change so we lose touch with those who are not immediate contacts.

The industry resistance to this is a transparent attempt to hold the consumer hostage to the hassle and unpleasant consequences of having to change the phone number when we make a change in service provider in a competitive market.

Portability and interchangeability will result in a more dynamic competitive telecommunications market and better service to the consumer. Please support those public requirements.

Gary Stradling 123 Canyon Vista Los Alamos, NM 87544

(505)672-1669 stradlingg@compuserve.com RECEIVED

FEB 2 8 2003

01-184

ORIGINAL

From: Gary Stradling

To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy. Michael Copps. KM KJMWEB, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: 1/26/039:24AM

Subject: Phone number portability

Commissioners-

As a consumer of telecommunication products, the question *of* number portability of cell-phone numbers and land-line numbers and interchangeability between the two is a no brainer. Portability and interchangeability is a must!

When my friends, family, and business associates change their numbers, it is a problem for everyone. with a lot of time wasted and frustration on the part of all. A customer can only make active contacts aware of a number change so we lose touch with those who are not immediate contacts.

The industry resistance to this is a transparent attempt to hold the consumer hostage to the hassle and unpleasant consequences of having to change the phone number when we make a change in service provider in a competitive market.

Portability and interchangeability will result in a more dynamic competitive telecommunications market and better service to the consumer. Please support those public requirements.

Gary Stradling 123 Canyon Vista Los Alamos. NM 87544

(505)672-1669 stradlingg@compusefve.com

RECEIVED

FEB 2 8 2003

From: Mohit Saigal
To: Mike Powell
Date: 1/27/03 12:28PM
Subject: Number portability

ORIGINAL

01-184

Hello,

I was wondering when is FCC going to allow consumers *to* carry their cell phone numbers to another carrier. **As** I have had my phone number for over 5 years. I hate my current carrier, due to poor service and product quality. And would like to take my number to another reliable carrier.

Thanks Mohit Saigal **RECEIVED**

FEB 2 8 2003

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

The new MSN 8: smart spam protection and 2 months FREE' http://join.msn.com/?page=features/junkmail

ORIGINA

From: Steve Laifman

To: Mike Powell

Date: 1/27/034:18PM

Subject: Cell Phone Number Portability

Dear Chairman Powell,

We have two cell phones we **use** to communicate with many friends and aged parents. We are thinking of changing providers due to poor service and attractive competitive plans.

RECEIVED

养食 温度性 (1000)

01-184

FEB 2 8 2003

The phone service received those cell phone numbers from MY government for use in the public interest. It is in the directories of all those I call.

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

i hold that the number is mine by assignment and use. and should be portable to another provider in the interest of the public and fairness. The current company did not pay for that number, and it has no intrinsic value to them but to hinder an open marketplace and detain me as an unwilling customer.

Please give us our rights to the US government cell phone number assigned to me

--

Steve Laifman

From:

IGuadalupe@aol.com

To:

Mike Powell

Date:

1/29/0311:42AM

Subject:

Cell Phone LNP

ORIGINAL

EX 800 E ON Love on

01-184

Hello Mr. Powell

I am wondering when will we have local number portability for the average consumers cell phone. I understand this provision was to be completed 2 years ago and was extended to first quarter this year. Is this still on track?

Please respond thanks

Ismael Guadalupe II 214 522 0836 **RECEIVED**

FEB 2 8 2003

01-184

From: F. Leanne Price
To: Mike Powell
Date: 1/28/032:47PM
Subject: cell phone numbers

ORIGINAL

Dear Mr. Powell,

I write to you today because I am a consumer and I wish to voice my opinion that I should be allowed to keep my cell phone number regardless of the carrier I use. The thought of having to change my cell phone number with each carrier I may choose keeps me from changing services because of the convenience/familiarity factor. In addition, it seem illogical to be assigned a new number in an otherwise over-crowed numbering system.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter

RECEIVED

F. Leanne Price, Paralegal Office Manager William H. Dodson, 11, LLC 6000 Lake Forrest Drive, Ste. 300 Atlanta, Georgia 30328 (404) 250-9800 - ofc (404) 250-0535 - fax leanne price@dodson-galaw.com

FEB 2 8 2003

EXPORTE THE WARRANT

From: carla griffis
To: Mike Powell
Date: 1/27/03 1.27AM

Subject: cell phones/area codes

ORIGINAL

I was just told about a problem that may be coming up and I wanted to voice our opinions about it. We heard that the phone companies wanted to give our cell phone numbers to "hard-line' phone users. I feel that if they are running out of phone numbers, they should create another area code. We cell phone users would have to notify all of our customers, friends and family of the new number if this happens. We have 3 cell phones in this household and they are used primarily for business. We are asking for your support for all cell phone users, to not let this happen.

Thank you, Carla A. Griffis Louis J. Griffis Todd E. Kennedy

FLB 2 8 2003

. 1246

FEB 2 8 2805

 $\mathbf{c}_{pN}(\pi_{i}^{(n)}) = \{1,\dots,n\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ of the second of the seco